Case	2:14-bk-25039-NB Doc 119 Filed 11/29/18 Entered 11/29/18 15:43:57 Desc Main Document Page 2 of 30			
1	This motion shall be and is based upon this notice, the attached Memorandum of Points and			
2	Authorities, the Declaration of Debtor Regina Delorse Hill, and such other evidence which may be			
3	provided at the hearing on this matter.			
4	WHEREFORE, Debtors respectfully request that this Court vacate the August 6, 2018 Order			
5	dismissing the case and reinstate the case so that Debtors can complete their plan.			
6				
7	Respectfully submitted,			
8	Dated: November 27, 2018  By: /s/ Thomas B. Ure			
9	By: <u>/s/ Thomas B. Ure</u> Thomas B. Ure Attorney for Debtors			
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
<ul><li>22</li><li>23</li></ul>				
23				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	- 2 -			

Case 2:14-bk-25039-NB Doc 119 Filed 11/29/18 Entered 11/29/18 15:43:57 Desc Main Document Page 3 of 30

### MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

2 || I.

3 <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

Debtors filed a voluntary Chapter 13 bankruptcy petition on August 5, 2014.

On November 24, 2014, an Order was entered avoiding a second lien on Debtors' residence (the order was subsequently amended on March 24, 2015).

On March 6, 2015, an Order was entered avoiding a third and fourth lien on Debtors' residence.

On April 3, 2015, Debtors' plan was confirmed with monthly payments of \$420.00 per month for months 1 and 2; \$315.00 per month for months 3 through 8; \$655.00 for months 9 through 30; \$1,098.00 per month for months 31 through 46; and \$1,546.00 for months 47 through 60.

Debtors' case was dismissed once previously on August 28, 2017 due to a calendaring error by their prior counsel. The order dismissing the case was vacated after a motion to vacate was filed on September 18, 2017 as docket number 84.

Due to the fact that both of Debtors' vehicles were having major mechanical issues, Debtors went to an automobile dealership to inquire about possible purchase of a used vehicle. Debtors were told by the dealership to contact their bankruptcy attorney's office regarding obtaining permission to finance a vehicle.

Debtors contacted their former attorney's office and spoke to Dennis Peters, a paralegal from their bankruptcy attorney's office. They were instructed to go to a dealership and pick out any vehicle and get a purchase agreement so that a motion could be filed to obtain permission to finance the vehicle. They were told that it did not have to be a purchase agreement for the specific vehicle they wished to purchase as it was unlikely that the vehicle would be available after the motion was filed and approved.

Debtors were interested in purchasing a used vehicle and were seeking a monthly payment less than \$400.00 (the approximate payment amount of their current vehicle they wished to replace). As instructed by their attorney's office, they obtained a purchase agreement "for any vehicle." The purchase agreement Debtors provided to their attorney was for a new 2018 GMC Sierra with a

### Case 2:14-bk-25039-NB Doc 119 Filed 11/29/18 Entered 11/29/18 15:43:57 Desc Main Document Page 4 of 30

purchase price of \$49,845.00 and a monthly payment of \$882.79. This was not the vehicle they were attempting to purchase but merely a purchase agreement they believed was needed to obtain permission to finance any vehicle.

On May 3, 2018, a Motion to Incur debt was filed by Debtors' former counsel. The Motion stated the purchase price of the vehicle was \$80,810.88 instead of the actual purchase price of the vehicle on the contract which was \$49,845.00. As stated above, this was not the vehicle that they were actually seeking to purchase.

Debtors' former attorney subsequently lodged an order which was incorrectly captioned as an order granting a motion to modify or suspend plan payments. The Order was inadvertently signed by the Court.

Debtors did not believe that this Order allowed them to purchase a vehicle and they never did purchase any vehicle.

On June 22, 2018, the Court entered an Order setting the Motion to Incur Debt for hearing and further ordered:

"[t]he debtor is directed to explain at the hearing (1) whether the debtor can afford to make the vehicle payments without modifying the chapter 13 plan, (2) if the debtor cannot afford to make the vehicle payments, whether a motion to modify the plan will be filed, (3) if the debtor can afford the payments, how it is that the debtor has that much disposable income, and (4) why it is appropriate for a debtor in bankruptcy to purchase a vehicle for over \$80,000.00."

On June 28, 2018, Debtors' former counsel failed to appear at the hearing to address the concerns of the Court.

On June 28, 2018, as a result of Debtors' former counsel's failure to appear, the Court issued an Order to Show Cause Why the Court Should Not Sanction Debtors and Their Attorney for Non-Appearance, set the Order to Show Cause for hearing on August 2, 2018 and set the deadline to file a written response for July 12, 2018.

On June 28, 2018, the Court issued an order setting a deadline for Debtors to file a declaration regarding the status of the vehicle purchase.

### Case 2:14-bk-25039-NB Doc 119 Filed 11/29/18 Entered 11/29/18 15:43:57 Desc Main Document Page 5 of 30

On July 5, 2018, Debtors received an email from Dennis Peters explaining the issues raised by the Court and informing Debtors that the law firm was "planning to file a declaration" in response to the questions raised by the Court and requested clarification from Debtors.

On July 6, 2018, Debtors responded to the email with the explanation the Court requested. However, Debtors never received any draft of a declaration based on the information provided and no declaration was ever prepared or filed by Debtors' former counsel with the Court.

Debtors were aware of the August 2, 2018 hearing and Debtor husband requested time off of work so that he could appear at the hearing.

On August 1, 2018, Debtor wife received an email from her former attorney's office informing her that the hearing had been continued to August 17, 2017. At the time she received the email, she did not notice the mistake in the year 2017 rather than 2018 but interpreted the email as instructions to not appear on August 2, 2018 and to appear on August 17, 2018 instead.

On August 2, 2018, Debtor wife received a call from her former attorney's office at approximately 8:40 a.m. asking why the they were not in Court.

Debtor wife explained that she was told that the hearing was continued and that they did not need to appear.

Debtor wife told her former attorney's office that she was at her residence in Lancaster and that her husband was at work in Long Beach and that they could be in Court for in about an hour and forty five minutes. Mr. Peters responded that the attorney had to leave Court no later than 9:00 a.m. for another hearing in Riverside and was not able to wait but that he would request a continuance from the Court.

Shortly after the morning phone call, Dennis Peters responded by email that the August 1, 2018 email regarding a continued hearing was sent in error and was an old email from 2017.

As a result of Debtors' non-appearance, the Court dismissed the case with a 180 day restriction against re-filing another case.

Debtors residence is scheduled for foreclosure on December 6, 2018.

27 ///

28 ///

Case	2:14-bk-25039-NB	Doc 119	Filed 11/2	29/18	Entered 11/29/18 15:43:57	Desc
			cument			

1 II. 2 THIS COURT IS EMPOWERED TO VACATE THE ORDER OF DISMISSAL. 3 Based upon the facts presented herein, it is evident that the dismissal was not due to any bad faith on the part of the Debtors and the circumstances stated herein justify the Court's discretion in 4 5 vacating the Order of Dismissal. Bankruptcy Rule 9024 provides that Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (hereinafter 6 7 "F.R.C.P.") 60 applies in cases under the Code. F.R.C.P. 60(b) provides in pertinent part: 8 "On motion and just terms, the court may relieve a party or its legal representative 9 from a final judgment, order, or proceeding for the following reasons: 10 **(1)** mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect; ... 11 or [Emphasis Added] any other reason justifying relief from the operation of the 12 (6) 13 judgment." 14 Debtor's Chapter 13 case was dismissed due to a series of errors and poor advise of their 15 prior attorney. 16 III. 17 THE BANKRUPTCY COURT HAS BROAD EQUITABLE POWERS AND MAY FASHION A REMEDY TO ACHIEVE AN EQUITABLE RESULT. 18 19 11 U.S.C. §105(a) in its pertinent part states that: "The court may issue any order, process, or judgment that is necessary or 20 21 appropriate to carry out the provisions of this title..." 22 The Ninth Circuit has previously held that, "A bankruptcy court has continuous power to 23 vacate or modify its own orders, if no intervening or vested rights are disturbed." 24 Casaudoumecq, 46 F. Supp. 718, 723 (S.D. Cal. 1942), citing Federal Land Bank v. Hansen, 113 25 F.2d 82; Wayne United Gas Co. V. Owens-Illinois Glass Co., 300 U.S. 131; In re Price, 99 F.2d 691; 26 and cited with approval in In re Johns Clay & Co., 43 B.R. 797, 806-07 (Bankr. D. Utah, 1984). 27 If this Order is vacated, no party will be prejudiced or will have substantially changed their

position since the entry of the dismissal order and Debtors are not seeking any retroactive relief

Case	2:14-bk-25039-NB Doc 119 Filed 11/29/18 Entered 11/29/18 15:43:57 Desc Main Document Page 7 of 30
1	should the case be reinstated.
2	IV.
3	<u>CONCLUSION</u>
4	Because the Dismissal Order was entered due to the Debtors receiving poor advice and poor
5	representation by their former counsel, Debtors seek to have the order vacated and the case
6	reinstated.
7	Respectfully submitted,
8	Dated: November 27, 2018
9	By: /s/ Thomas B. Ure Thomas B. Ure
10	Attorney for Debtor
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	_

Case 2:14-bk-25039-NB Doc 119 Filed 11/29/18 Entered 11/29/18 15:43:57 Desc Main Document Page 8 of 30

### **DECLARATION OF REGINA DELORSE HILL**

### I, REGINA DELORSE HILL, declare:

- 1. I am one of the Debtors in Chapter 13 case number 2:14-25039-NB. As such, I have personal knowledge of the facts stated herein, and if called upon to testify thereto, I could and would do so competently and truthfully.
- 2. This declaration is made in support of my Motion to Vacate the Dismissal of our bankruptcy case. Attached hereto, marked Exhibit A, is a true and correct copy of the Order Dismissing Case with 180-Day Bar Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §109(g).
- 3. I filed a voluntary Chapter 13 bankruptcy petition with my husband on August 5, 2014.
- 4. On November 24, 2014, an Order was entered avoiding a second lien on our residence (the order was subsequently amended on March 24, 2015).
- 5. On March 6, 2015, an Order was entered avoiding a third and fourth lien on our residence.
- 6. On April 3, 2015, our plan was confirmed with monthly payments of \$420.00 per month for months 1 and 2; \$315.00 per month for months 3 through 8; \$655.00 for months 9 through 30; \$1,098.00 per month for months 31 through 46; and \$1,546.00 for months 47 through 60.
- 7. Our case was dismissed on August 28, 2017 due to a calendaring error by our prior counsel. The order dismissing the case was vacated after a motion to vacate was filed on September 18, 2017 as docket number 84.
- 8. Due to the fact that both of our vehicles were having major mechanical issues, we went to an automobile dealership to inquire about possible purchase of a used vehicle. We were told by the dealership to contact our attorney's office regarding obtaining permission to finance a vehicle.
- 9. We contacted our former attorney's office and spoke to Dennis Peters, a paralegal from our bankruptcy attorney's office. We were instructed to go to the dealership and pick out any vehicle and get a purchase agreement so that a motion could be filed to obtain permission to finance the vehicle. We were told that it did not have to be a purchase agreement for the specific vehicle we wished to purchase as it was unlikely that the vehicle would be available after the motion was filed

permission to finance any vehicle.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 10. We were interested in purchasing a used vehicle and were seeking a monthly payment less than \$400.00 (the approximate payment amount of our current vehicle we wished to replace).

  As instructed by our attorney's office, we obtained a purchase agreement "for any vehicle." The purchase agreement we provided to their attorney was for a new 2018 GMC Sierra with a purchase price of \$49,845.00 and a monthly payment of \$882.79. This was not the vehicle we were attempting to purchase but merely a purchase agreement we believed was needed to obtain
  - 11. On May 3, 2018, a Motion to Incur debt was filed by our former counsel. The Motion stated the purchase price of the vehicle was \$80,810.88 instead of the actual purchase price of the vehicle on the contract which was \$49,845.00. As stated above, this was not the vehicle that we were actually seeking to purchase.
  - 12. Our former attorney subsequently lodged an order which was incorrectly captioned as an order granting a motion to modify or suspend plan payments. The Order was inadvertently signed by the Court.
  - 13. We did not believe that this Order allowed us to purchase a vehicle and we never did purchase any vehicle.
  - 14. On June 22, 2018, the Court entered an Order setting the Motion to Incur Debt for hearing and further ordered:
    - "[t]he debtor is directed to explain at the hearing (1) whether the debtor can afford to make the vehicle payments without modifying the chapter 13 plan, (2) if the debtor cannot afford to make the vehicle payments, whether a motion to modify the plan will be filed, (3) if the debtor can afford the payments, how it is that the debtor has that much disposable income, and (4) why it is appropriate for a debtor in bankruptcy to purchase a vehicle for over \$80,000.00."
  - 15. On June 28, 2018, our former counsel failed to appear at the hearing to address the concerns of the Court.
    - 16. On June 28, 2018, as a result of our former counsel's failure to appear, the Court

- 1 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

Non-Appearance, set the Order to Show Cause for hearing on August 2, 2018 and set the deadline to file a written response for July 12, 2018.

issued an Order to Show Cause Why the Court Should Not Sanction Debtors and Their Attorney for

- 17. On June 28, 2018, the Court issued an order setting a deadline for us to file a declaration regarding the status of the vehicle purchase.
- 18. On July 5, 2018, I received an email from Dennis Peters explaining the issues raised by the Court and informing me that they were "planning to file a declaration" in response to the questions raised by the Court and requested clarification. Attached hereto, marked Exhibit B, is a true and correct copy of the email.
- 19. On July 6, 2018, I responded to the email with the explanation the Court requested. However, we never received any draft of a declaration based on the information provided and I am now aware that no declaration was ever prepared or filed with the Court. Attached hereto, marked Exhibit C, is a true and correct copy of my responsive email.
- 20. We were aware of this hearing and my husband requested time off of work so that he could appear at the hearing.
- 21. On August 1, 2018, I received an email from my former attorney's office informing me that our hearing had been continued to August 17, 2017. At the time I received the email, I did not notice the mistake in the year 2017 rather than 2018 but interpreted this email as instructions to not appear on August 2, 2018 and to appear on August 17, 2018 instead. I understand now that the email I received on August 1, 2018 was sent in error by my former attorney's office. The email included an old email which referenced an old hearing which happened to be in August. I read the email and believed it was referencing the continuance of the hearing scheduled for the following day. Attached hereto, marked as Exhibit D, is a true and correct copy of the email.
- 22. I immediately contacted my husband to let him know that he did not need to take the time off due to the continuance of the hearing.
- 23. On August 2, 2018, I received a call from our attorney's office at approximately 8:40 a.m. asking why the we were not in Court.
  - 24. I explained that I was told that the hearing was continued and that we did not need

to appear. 1 I told him that I was at my residence in Lancaster and my husband was at work in Long Beach and that we could be in Court for in about an hour and forty five minutes. He responded 3 that the attorney had to leave Court no later than 9:00 a.m. for another hearing in Riverside and was 4 5 not able to wait but that he would request a continuance from the Court, Shortly after the morning phone call, Dennis Peters responded by email that the 6 26. 7 August 1, 2018 email regarding a continued hearing was sent in error and was an old email from 8 2017. Attached hereto, marked Exhibit E, is the email stating that the earlier email was sent in 9 mistake. 10 26. As a result of our non-appearance, the Court dismissed the case with a 180 day 11 restriction against re-filing another case. 12 27. We received a Notice of Trustee's Sale stating that our residence is schedule for foreclosure on December 6, 2018. Attached hereto, marked Exhibit F, is a true a correct copy of the 13 14 Notice of Trustee's Sale. I declare under penalty of perjury according to the laws of the United States of America that 15 the foregoing is true and correct and that this Declaration is executed on this day of November, 16 17 2018 at Los Angeles, California. 18 19 ing Delorse Hill 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Case 2:14-bk-25039-NB Doc 109 Filed **Q8/Q9/18** Entered **Q8/Q9/18 15:23:50** Malair Doormeent Plage 13 of 20

1 2

3

4

5 6

7 8

9

10

11

12

In re:

Hill.

Michael Dermont Hill and Regina Delorse

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

FILED & ENTERED AUG 06 2018 **CLERK U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT** Central District of California BY sumlin DEPUTY CLERK

# UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA LOS ANGELES DIVISION

Case No: 2:14-bk-25039-NB

Chapter: 13

**ORDER DISMISSING CASE WITH 180-**DAY BAR PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 109(g)(1)

<u>Hearing:</u> Date: August 2, 2018 Debtor(s).

Time: 8:30 a.m. Place: Courtroom 1545

255 E. Temple Street Los Angeles, CA 90012

At the date, time and place set forth above, this Court held a hearing on its Order to show cause why this Court should not sanction the debtors and their attorney for nonappearance at the June 28, 2018 hearing (dkt. 103) (the "OSC"). The OSC was served on both debtors and directed them to appear. Dkt. 106. This Court's tentative ruling posted prior to the hearing (and available at www.cacb.uscourts.gov) was to dismiss this case, with a bar against being a debtor for a period of 180 days, (a) for willful failure of the debtors to abide by this Court's Prior Order (dkt. 100) to address this Court's concerns regarding a motion to incur debt for a vehicle (dkt. 96) and alternatively (b) for willful failure of the debtors to appear in proper prosecution of this case. The individual debtors failed to make an appearance, in violation of the OSC, and failed to file any declarations as required by the

### Case 2:14-bk-25039-NB Doc 109 Filed 08/20/18 Entered 08/20/18 13:23:50 Desc Main/Document Plaggel 2 of 20

Order (dkt. 105) continuing the hearing on the debtors' motion to incur debt. Counsel for the debtors appeared at the above-captioned hearing and stated on that record that the debtors may have misunderstood this Court's order, but this Court was not persuaded that there was any ambiguity in those orders. For the foregoing reasons, and with good cause appearing, it is hereby ORDERED that

1) This bankruptcy case is DISMISSED.

- 2) The debtors are barred from being debtors in any bankruptcy case for a period of 180 days, for (a) willful failure to abide by orders of this Court and alternatively (b) for willful failure to appear in proper prosecution of this case, all as described above. See 11 U.S.C. § 109(g)(1).
- 3) Any discharge entered in this case is vacated. This Court retains jurisdiction to the extent provided by LBR 1017-2(f).
- 4) All relief from stay motions that seek annulment or *in rem* relief are not moot. All other pending motions and adversary proceedings in this case are typically moot and are deemed dismissed, unless an objection is filed within 28 days after the entry of this order and self-calendared for hearing.

###

Date: August 6, 2018

Neil W. Bason

United States Bankruptcy Judge

From: Dennis Peters <dennis.peters@theattorneygroup.com>

Date: 7/5/18 11:04 AM (GMT-08:00)

To: Regina Hill <papabbggina@gmail.com>

Subject: Re: Motion to Incur Debt

Hello Ms. Hill,

The motion has not been granted yet, the court had actually set a hearing date for June 28, 2018 but we missed the hearing date and the judge continued it once again to August 2, 2018. You should have received the notice already.

The main concern for the court is that the debt you are trying to incur for the vehicle is for a debt of over \$80,000.00. The court wants to know if you can afford the payments and whether we need to modify your plan based on this new payment and whether is appropriate for the court to approve a purchase of a vehicle with a \$80k loan.

You will need to appear at the 8/2/2018 at 8:30 am hearing to explain this to the Court but in advance of the hearing we are also planning to file a declaration, as such, please let us know why a vehicle with a high loan amount is appropriate.

### Thank you.

Dennis Peters
Attorney Group
Sr. Bankruptcy Paralegal
3435 Wilshire Blvd #1111
Los Angeles, CA 90010
Direct - 213-388-3887 EXT386
Fax - 877-799-8453

If you ever need help on Workers Comp, Traffic Tickets, DUI, Criminal Law, Expungement Law, Family Law, and Bankruptcy, please do not hesitate to contact me for more info. Thank you

Should you wish to speak to the management or have a complaint/concern, please email <a href="management@theattorneygroup.com">management@theattorneygroup.com</a> and we will contact you immediately.

\*\*\* CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The information in this email is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521 and is legally privileged. It contains information sent by Dennis Peters and is confidential and is intended solely for the addressee. The information contained herein may be privileged, confidential, and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. Access to this email by anyone else is unauthorized. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution, dissemination, or any other improper action taken, or omitted to be taken in reliance on it, is strictly prohibited. If this transmission is received in error, please notify Dennis Peters immediately at (213)388-3887 and please delete the email from your computer.

On 7/6/2018 9:39 AM, papabbqgina wrote: Good morning Dennis- Hope you had a good holiday.

We will most definitely need to submit a declaration to the court to let the Trustee know we did not understand the task that was put before us. There was definitely some confusion or miscommunication. There is absolutely no way Mike and I can afford an \$80,000 vehicle. You asked us to go to a dealership in obtain a purchase order to submit to the court for approval as both our vehicles are broken down, which we did. At no point in time did we ever plan to purchase this vehicle. We thought you wanted a purchase order on any vehicle to replace 1st of all Mike's truck. We did not understand that you wanted us to get a purchase order for the exact vehicle we want to purchase. If that were the case, that vehicle is not going to be available when we return to purchase, if this process takes approximately 60 days. Is what you're asking us to do, obtain a purchase order for a vehicle similar to what we want to purchase? I think that was definitely the miscommunication.

If you could please clarify and let us know.

Thank you, Regina Hill

From: Dennis Peters <dennis.peters@theattorneygroup.com>

Date: 8/1/18 3:07 PM (GMT-08:00)

Subject: Fwd: Continued Hearing on Trustee's Motion to Dismiss Case

Ms. Hill,

This was my last communication from July 6, 2018 but I don't believe I got a reply from you.

Thanks

----- Forwarded Message ------

Subject: Continued Hearing on Trustee's Motion to Dismiss Case

Date: Thu, 6 Jul 2017 11:36:46 -0700

**From:** Dennis Peters <a href="mailto:dennis.peters@theattorneygroup.com">dennis.peters@theattorneygroup.com</a> **To:** <a href="mailto:papabbqgina@gmail.com">papabbqgina@gmail.com</a>

Mr. & Ms. Hill,

The hearing on the trustee's motion to dismiss was continued to August 17, 2017 at 11am for the following reasons:

- 1) Debtors to be current on all plan payments by hearing date
- 2) Provide a thorough declaration explaining income (trustee was confused as to how you were able to make plan payments while your husband was unemployed)

Also, I believe you mentioned that your vehicle was not paid off yet, keep in mind that the trustee increased your plan payment based on your vehicle being paid off, as such, please provide the contract or any document showing what the pay-off date is for the vehicle.

Thank you.

Dennis Peters
Attorney Group
Sr. Bankruptcy Paralegal
3435 Wilshire Blvd #1111
Los Angeles, CA 90010
Direct - 213-388-3887 EXT386
Fax - 877-799-8453

If you ever need help on Traffic Tickets, DUI, Criminal Law, Expungement Law, Family Law, and Bankruptcy, please do not hesitate to contact me for more info. Thank you

Should you wish to speak to the management or have a complaint/concern, please email <u>management@thegenesislaw.com</u> and we will contact you immediately.

\*\*\* CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The information in this email is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521 and is legally privileged. It contains information sent by Dennis Peters and is confidential and is intended solely for the addressee. The information contained herein may be privileged, confidential, and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. Access to this email by anyone else is unauthorized. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution, dissemination, or any other improper action taken, or omitted to be taken in reliance on it, is strictly prohibited. If this transmission is received in error, please notify Dennis Peters immediately at (213)388-3887 and please delete the email from your computer.

From: Dennis Peters <dennis.peters@theattorneygroup.com>

Date: 8/2/18 9:29 AM (GMT-08:00)

To: Regina Hill papabbqqina@gmail.com>

Subject: Re: Fwd: Continued Hearing on Trustee's Motion to Dismiss Case

Ms Hill,

This was actually from an email on July 2017 that I forward instead of my last one in July 2018, so I think that's where the confusion was as well. The email from July that I sent you references the vehicle info. I told the attorney there was a confusion and he will try to get a continuance.

### Thank you.

Dennis Peters
Attorney Group
Sr. Bankruptcy Paralegal
3435 Wilshire Blvd #1111
Los Angeles, CA 90010
Direct - 213-388-3887 EXT386
Fax - 877-799-8453

If you ever need help on Workers Comp, Traffic Tickets, DUI, Criminal Law, Expungement Law, Family Law, and Bankruptcy, please do not hesitate to contact me for more info. Thank you

Should you wish to speak to the management or have a complaint/concern, please email management@theattorneygroup.com and we will contact you immediately.

\*\*\* CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The information in this email is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521 and is legally privileged. It contains information sent by Dennis Peters and is confidential and is intended solely for the addressee. The information contained herein may be privileged, confidential, and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. Access to this email by anyone else is unauthorized. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution, dissemination, or any other improper action taken, or omitted to be taken in reliance on it, is strictly prohibited. If this transmission is received in error, please notify Dennis Peters immediately at (213)388-3887 and please delete the email from your computer.

## This page is part of your document - DO NOT DISCARD





20181104136



Pages: 0003

Recorded/Filed in Official Records Recorder's Office, Los Angeles County, California

10/31/18 AT 08:00AM

PAID:	105.00
SB2:	75.00
OTHER:	0.00
TAXES:	0.00
FEES:	30.00





201810310300004

00015900876



009437432

SEQ:

DAR - Title Company (Hard Copy)



THIS FORM IS NOT TO BE DUPLICATED

Desc

### STEWART TITLE

RECORDING REQUESTED BY And When Recorded Mail To:

CLEAR RECON CORP. 4375 Jutland Drive San Diego, California 92117 Phone: 866-931-0036 10-6.052105-13

T.S. No. 016607-CA APN: 3204-078-001

Space Above This Line For Recorder's Use

### NOTICE OF TRUSTEE'S SALE

### Pursuant to CA Civil Code 2923.3

### NOTE: THERE IS A SUMMARY OF THE INFORMATION IN THIS DOCUMENT ATTACHED

注:本文件包含一个信息摘要

참고사항: 본 청부 문서에 정보 요약서가 있습니다

NOTA: SE ADJUNTA UN RESUMEN DE LA INFORMACIÓN DE ESTE DOCUMENTO TALA: MAYROONG BUOD NG IMPORMASYON SA DOKUMENTONG ITO NA NAKALAKIP LƯU Ý: KÈM THEO ĐÂY LÀ BẨN TRÌNH BÀY TÓM LƯỢC VỀ THÔNG TIN TRONG TÀI LIÊU NÀY

### IMPORTANT NOTICE TO PROPERTY OWNER:

YOU ARE IN DEFAULT UNDER A DEED OF TRUST, DATED 8/17/2006. UNLESS YOU TAKE ACTION TO PROTECT YOUR PROPERTY, IT MAY BE SOLD AT A PUBLIC SALE. IF YOU NEED AN EXPLANATION OF THE NATURE OF THE PROCEEDING AGAINST YOU, YOU SHOULD CONTACT A LAWYER

On 12/6/2018 at 9:00 AM, CLEAR RECON CORP., as duly appointed trustee under and pursuant to Deed of Trust recorded 8/28/2006, as Instrument No. 06 1906898, , of Official Records in the office of the County Recorder of Los Angeles County, State of CALIFORNIA executed by:

#### REGINA D HILL A MARRIED WOMAN AS HER SOLE AND SEPARATE PROPERTY

WILL SELL AT PUBLIC AUCTION TO HIGHEST BIDDER FOR CASH, CASHIER'S CHECK DRAWN ON A STATE OR NATIONAL BANK, A CHECK DRAWN BY A STATE OR FEDERAL CREDIT UNION, OR A CHECK DRAWN BY A STATE OR FEDERAL SAVINGS AND LOAN ASSOCIATION, SAVINGS ASSOCIATION, OR SAVINGS BANK SPECIFIED IN SECTION 5102 OF THE FINANCIAL CODE AND AUTHORIZED TO DO BUSINESS IN THIS STATE:

### VINEYARD BALLROOM, DOUBLETREE HOTEL LOS ANGELES - NORWALK, 13111 SYCAMORE DRIVE, NORWALK, CA 90650

all right, title and interest conveyed to and now held by it under said Deed of Trust in the property situated in said County and State described as:

### MORE FULLY DESCRIBED ON SAID DEED OF TRUST

The street address and other common designation, if any, of the real property described above is purported to be: 42859 59TH STREET WEST LANCASTER, CA 93536

The undersigned Trustee disclaims any liability for any incorrectness of the street address and other common designation, if any, shown herein.

Said sale will be held, but without covenant or warranty, express or implied, regarding title, possession, condition, or encumbrances, including fees, charges and expenses of the Trustee and of the trusts created by said Deed of Trust, to

Page 27 of 30

T.S. No. 016607-CA

### NOTICE OF TRUSTEE'S SALE

pay the remaining principal sums of the note(s) secured by said Deed of Trust. The total amount of the unpaid balance of the obligation secured by the property to be sold and reasonable estimated costs, expenses and advances at the time of the initial publication of the Notice of Sale is: \$295,991.79

If the Trustee is unable to convey title for any reason, the successful bidder's sole and exclusive remedy shall be the return of monies paid to the Trustee, and the successful bidder shall have no further recourse.

The beneficiary under said Deed of Trust heretofore executed and delivered to the undersigned a written Declaration of Default and Demand for Sale, and a written Notice of Default and Election to Sell. The undersigned or its predecessor caused said Notice of Default and Election to Sell to be recorded in the county where the real property is located.

NOTICE TO POTENTIAL BIDDERS: If you are considering bidding on this property lien, you should understand that there are risks involved in bidding at a trustee auction. You will be bidding on a lien, not on the property itself. Placing the highest bid at a trustee auction does not automatically entitle you to free and clear ownership of the property. You should also be aware that the lien being auctioned off may be a junior lien. If you are the highest bidder at the auction, you are or may be responsible for paying off all liens senior to the lien being auctioned off, before you can receive clear title to the property. You are encouraged to investigate the existence, priority, and size of outstanding liens that may exist on this property by contacting the county recorder's office or a title insurance company, either of which may charge you a fee for this information. If you consult either of these resources, you should be aware that the same lender may hold more than one mortgage or deed of trust on the property.

NOTICE TO PROPERTY OWNER: The sale date shown on this notice of sale may be postponed one or more times by the mortgagee, beneficiary, trustee, or a court, pursuant to Section 2924g of the California Civil Code. The law requires that information about trustee sale postponements be made available to you and to the public, as a courtesy to those not present at the sale. If you wish to learn whether your sale date has been postponed, and, if applicable, the rescheduled time and date for the sale of this property, you may call (800) 280-2832 or visit this Internet Web site WWW.AUCTION.COM, using the file number assigned to this case 016607-CA. Information about postponements that are very short in duration or that occur close in time to the scheduled sale may not immediately be reflected in the telephone information or on the Internet Web site. The best way to verify postponement information is to attend the scheduled sale.

FOR SALES INFORMATION: (800) 280-2832

Date Executed: \

CLEAR RECON CORP.

Q, Authorized Signature

CLEAR RECON CORP. 4375 Jutland Drive

San Diego, California 92117

Regina Delorse Hill  CASE NUMBER: 2:14-BK-25039-NB	Debtor(s).	In re:  Michael Dermont Hill  Regina Delorse Hill	Debtor(s).	CHAPTER: <b>13</b> CASE NUMBER: 2:14-BK-25039-NB
--	------------	---	------------	--

### PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding. My business address is: 800 West 6th Street., Suite 940 Los Angeles, CA 90017

A true and correct copy of the foregoing document entitled (*specify*): NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO VACATE ORDER OF DISMISSAL ENTERED AUGUST 6, 2018 AND TO REINSTATE THE CASE; MEMORANDUM

		ATION OF DEBTOR REGINA HILL IN SUPPORT THEREOF
will be served or w stated below:	vas served <b>(a)</b> on the judge in chamber	rs in the form and manner required by LBR 5005-2(d); and <b>(b)</b> in the manner
		ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF): Pursuant to controlling General Orders and LBR,
		EF and hyperlink to the document. On
		and determined that the following persons are on the Electronic Mail Notice
List to receive NE	F transmission at the email addresses	stated below:
TRUSTEE Katl	hy A Dockery (TR) EFiling@LAT	rustee.com
ATTORNEY F	OR CREDITOR Gary D Fidler g	fidlerlaw@gmail.com
ATTORNEY F	OR CREDITOR Marian Garza ed	cfnotices@ascensioncapitalgroup.com
		dotti ECFNotifications@ghidottilaw.com
		ia bknotice@mccarthyholthus.com, mjafarnia@ecf.inforuptcy.com
		el King dking@theattorneygroup.com, r44432@notify.bestcase.com
		aul Andrew.Kussmaul@Bonialpc.com
	OR CREDITOR Natalie E Lea N	
	OR CREDITOR Edward G Schlo	<b>*</b>
		rman tsilverman@scheerlawgroup.com
	OR CREDITOR Ramesh Singh of	
	United States Trustee (LA) ustpre	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		eswaran ecfcacb@aldridgepite.com, GGV@ecf.inforuptcy.com
		g jwang@cookseylaw.com, jwang@ecf.courtdrive.com
		stein ecfnotifications@ghidottilaw.com
	OR DEBTORS Thomas B. Ure to	
ATTORNETT	OR DEDITORS THOMAS B. OTE IC	Service information continued on attached page
		Service information continued on attached page
2. SERVED BY U	INITED STATES MAIL:	
		or entities at the last known addresses in this bankruptcy case or adversary
		a sealed envelope in the United States mail, first class, postage prepaid, and
		a declaration that mailing to the judge will be completed no later than 24 hours
after the documen	it is filed.	✓ Service information continued on attached page
2 CEDVED DV D	PERSONAL DELIVERY OVERNICHT	
		MAIL, FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION OR EMAIL (state method for each controlling LBR, on, I served the following persons and/or entities by
		ho consented in writing to such service method), by facsimile transmission
		es a declaration that personal delivery on, or overnight mail to, the judge will be
completed no late	r than 24 hours after the document is f	iled.
		☐ Service information continued on attached page
l declare under pe	enalty of periury under the laws of the l	United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.
11/29/2018	Yolanda Segura	/s/ Yolanda Segura
11/23/2010	i vialiua ocyula	/5/ I Ulatiua Seyuta

/s/ Yolanda Segura

Signature

Date

Printed Name

### Case 2:14-bk-25039-NB Doc 119 Filed 11/29/18 Entered 11/29/18 15:43:57 Desc Main Document Page 29 of 30

BAYVIEW LOAN SERVICING, LLC, servicing agent c/o EDWARD G. SCHLOSS 3637 Motor Avenue, Suite 220 Los Angeles, CA 90034-4884 Bayview Loan Servicing LLC 4425 Ponce De Leon Blvd. 5th Floor Coral Gables, FL 33146-1837

Exeter Finance Corp. c/o Ascension Capital Group P.O. Box 201347 Arlington, TX 76006-1347 Recovery Management Systems Corporation 25 SE 2nd Avenue, Suite 1120 Miami, FL 33131-1605 SRP 2012-4, LLC, its assignees and/or succes c/o McCarthy & Holthus, LLP 1770 Fourth Avenue San Diego, CA 92101-2607

Advanced Endoscopy and Pain Center PO Box 116537 Atlanta, GA 30368-6537 Bank of America Attn: Correspondence Unit/CA6-919-02-41 Po Box 5170 Simi Valley, CA 93062-5170

Bayview Loan Servicing, LLC 4425 Ponce De Leon Blvd. 5th Floor Coral Gables, Florida 33146-1837 Beverly Radiology P.O. Box 101418 Pasadena, CA 91189-0025 Capital 1 Bank
Attn: Bankruptcy Dept.
Po Box 30285
Salt Lake City, UT 84130-0285

Commercial Properties 3251 W. 6th Street #109 Los Angeles, CA 90020-5018 Commercial Properties LLC c/o Aspen Management Attn Janice Loman 18321 Ventura Blvd Ste 200 Tarzana CA 91356-4252 Eddie Haung 20715 South Avalon Blvd Suite 225 Carson, CA 90746-3323

Equiant Financial Svcs Attn: Bankrupty Dept 5401 N Pima Rd Scottsdale, AZ 85250-2627 Exeter Finance Corp 222 Las Colinas Blvd W Irving, TX 75039-5421 Exeter Finance Corp. P.O. Box 204480 Dallas, TX 75320-4480

Fed Loan Serv Po Box 69184 Harrisburg, PA 17106-9184 Gary D. Fidler & Chaplan LLP 2719 Wilshire Blvd 2nd Floor Santa Monica, CA 90403-4835 Healthcare Recovery Solutions 1515 190th Street Suite 350 Gardena, CA 90248-4910

LVNV Funding, LLC its successors and assigns assignee of Washington Mutual Bank, NA Resurgent Capital Services PO Box 10587 Greenville, SC 29603-0587

Lancaster Triangle LLC 2719 Wilshire Blvd 2nd Floor Santa Monica, CA 90403-4835 Los Angeles County Sheriff One Regent Street Inglewood, CA 90301-6055

Northland Group P.O. Box 390846 Mail Code SHT34 Minneapolis, MN 55439-0846 Quantum3 Group LLC as agent for Galaxy International Purchasing LLC PO Box 788 Kirkland, WA 98083-0788

Recovery Management Systems Corporation 25 S.E. 2nd Avenue, Suite 1120 Miami, FL 33131-1605 SRP 2012-4 LLC c/o SN Servicing Corp. 323 5th Street Eureka, CA 95501-0305 SRP 2012-4, LLC c/c Seneca Mortgage Servicing LLC 611 Jamison Road Elma, NY 14059-9392

#### Case 2:14-bk-25039-NB Doc 119 Filed 11/29/18 Entered 11/29/18 15:43:57 Main Document Page 30 of 30

Seneca Mortgage Servicin 3374 Walden Avneue Suite 120 Depew, NY 14043-2437

THE BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON Pite Duncan. LLP 4375 Jutland Drive, Suite 200, P.O. Box 17933 San Diego, CA 92177-7921

U. S. Department of Education FedLoan Servicing P. O. Box 69184 Harrisburg, PA 17106-9184

USC Medical Center File 749303 Los Angeles, CA 90074-0001 Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. P.O. Box 19657 Irvine. CA 92623-9657

Wfs Financial/Wachovia Dealer Srvs Po Box 3569 Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91729-3569

Daniel King The Attorney Group 3435 Wilshire Blvd Ste 1111 Los Angeles, CA 90010-2294

Michael Dermont Hill 42859 59th Street West Lancaster, CA 93536-5616

Regina Delorse Hill 42859 59th Street West Lancaster, CA 93536-5616

The preferred mailing address (p) above has been substituted for the following entity/entities as so specified by said entity/entities in a Notice of Address filed pursuant to 11 U.S.C. 342(f) and Fed.R.Bank.P. 2002 (g) (4).

Portfolio Recovery Attn: Bankruptcy Po Box 41067 Norfolk, VA 23541

(d)Portfolio Recovery Associates, LLC c/o Capital One/Hsbc POB 41067 Norfolk VA 23541

The following recipients may be/have been bypassed for notice due to an undeliverable (u) or duplicate (d) address.

(d) BAYVIEW LOAN SERVICING, LLC, servicing age c/o EDWARD G. SCHLOSS 3637 Motor Avenue, Suite 220 Los Angeles, CA 90034-4884

(u) Courtesy NEF

(u)Lancaster Triangle, LLC

(u) SRP 2012-4 LLC

(u) The Bank of New York Mellon fka The Bank o

(u) Wells Fargo Dealer Services Inc.

End of Label Matrix Mailable recipients 40 Bypassed recipients Total